arXiv:1106.3367v2 [math.DS] 27 Mar 2012

FEKETE CONFIGURATION, QUANTITATIVE EQUIDISTRIBUTION AND WANDERING CRITICAL ORBITS IN NON-ARCHIMEDEAN DYNAMICS

YÛSUKE OKUYAMA

Dedicated to Professor Masahiko Taniguchi on his sixtieth birthday

Abstract. Let f be a rational function of degree $d > 1$ on the projective line over a possibly non-archimedean algebraically closed field. A well-known process initiated by Brolin considers the pullbacks of points under iterates of f, and produces an important equilibrium measure. We define the asymptotic Fekete property of pullbacks of points, which means that they mirror the equilibrium measure appropriately. As application, we obtain an error estimate of equidistribution of pullbacks of points for C^1-test functions in terms of the proximity of wandering critical orbits to the initial points, and show that the order is $O(\sqrt{kd^{-k}})$ upto a specific exceptional set of capacity 0 of initial points, which is contained in the set of superattracting periodic points and the omega-limit set of wandering critical points from the Julia set or the presingular domains of f. As an application in arithmetic dynamics, together with a dynamical Diophantine approximation, these estimates recover Favre and Rivera-Letelier's quantitative equidistribution in a purely local manner.

1. Introduction

Let K or $(K, |\cdot|)$ be an algebraically closed field complete with respect to a non-trivial absolute value (or valuation) $|\cdot|$. The field K is said to be non-archimedean if it satisfies the strong triangle inequality

$$|z - w| \le \max\{|z|, |w|\}$$

(e.g. p-adic $\mathbb{C}_p$), otherwise K is archimedean and indeed $K \cong \mathbb{C}$. When K is non-archimedean, the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1(K) = K \cup \{\infty\}$ is totally disconnected and non-compact. A subset in K is called a *ball* if it is written as $\{z \in K; |z - a| \le r\}$ for some center $a \in K$ and radius $r \ge 0$. The alternative that two balls in K either nest or are mutually disjoint induces a partial order over all balls in K, which is nicely visualized by the Berkovich projective line $\mathsf{P}^1 = \mathsf{P}^1(K)$. This regards each element of $\mathsf{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$ as an equivalence class of nesting balls in K, and produces a compact augmentation of $\mathbb{P}^1$ containing $\mathbb{P}^1$ as a dense subset. A typical point of the hyperbolic space

$$\mathsf{H}^1 = \mathsf{H}^1(K) := \mathsf{P}^1 \setminus \mathbb{P}^1$$

Date: March 29, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G50; Secondary 37F10.

Key words and phrases. Fekete configuration, quantitative equidistribution, wandering critical orbits, non-archimedean dynamics, complex dynamics.

is a ball of radius > 0 in K, while each point of $K = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$ is a ball of radius 0. On the other hand, when K is archimedean, P^1 and $\mathbb{P}^1$ are identical and $\mathsf{H}^1 = \emptyset$.

Let f be a rational function on $\mathbb{P}^1$ of degree $d > 1$. The action of f on $\mathbb{P}^1$ canonically extends to a continuous, open, surjective and fiber-discrete endomorphism on P^1, preserving both $\mathbb{P}^1$ and H^1. To each $a \in \mathsf{P}^1$, the local degree $\deg_a f$ of f at a also canonically extends. The exceptional set of the extended f is, as a subset of P^1, still defined by

$$E(f) := \{a \in \mathbb{P}^1; \# \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-k}(a) < \infty\}.$$

In 1965, Brolin [6] introduced the equilibrium measure μ_f in the situation that f is a complex polynomial, which has proved the basis of many later extensions and applications. The following equidistribution theorem was established in [6], [17], [21] for archimedean K, and in [14] generalized to non-archimedean K: for each $a \in \mathsf{P}^1$, let (a) be the Dirac measure at a on P^1. If $a \in \mathsf{P}^1 \setminus E(f)$, then the averaged pullbacks

$$\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k} = \frac{1}{d^k} \sum_{w \in f^{-k}(a)} (\deg_w(f^k)) \cdot (w)$$

tends to μ_f weakly on P^1.

Definition 1.1. The Berkovich Fatou and Julia sets of f in P^1 are $\mathsf{F}(f)$ and $\mathsf{J}(f)$, respectively (cf. [14, §2.3]). Let $\mathcal{F}(f)$ and $\mathcal{J}(f)$ be the classical Fatou and Julia sets of f in $\mathbb{P}^1$, which agrees with the intersection of $\mathsf{F}(f)$ and $\mathsf{J}(f)$ with $\mathbb{P}^1$, respectively. Let $SAT(f)$ and $AT(f)$ be the sets of superattracting and (possibly super)attracting periodic points of f in $\mathbb{P}^1$, respectively.

In [9], for archimedean K, the error term of equidistribution

$$\left| \int_{\mathsf{P}^1} \phi \mathrm{d} \left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k} - \mu_f \right) \right| \tag{1.1}$$

was estimated using a Nevanlinna theoretical covering theory argument:

Theorem 1.1 (cf. [9, Theorem 2 and (4.2)]). *Let f be a rational function on $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ of degree $d > 1$. Then for every C^2-test function ϕ on $\mathbb{P}^1$,*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \phi \mathrm{d} \left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k} - \mu_f \right) \right| = \begin{cases} O(d^{-k}) & (a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus UH(f)), \\ O(kd^{-k}) & (a \in \mathcal{F}(f) \setminus SAT(f)), \\ O(\eta^k d^{-k}) & (a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus SAT(f)), \\ O((\deg_{a_0} f^k) d^{-k}) & (a \in U_{a_0}, a_0 \in SAT(f)) \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

as $k \to \infty$. Here the third estimate applies to any fixed $\eta > 1$, the fourth one applies to some neighborhood U_{a_0} of any $a_0 \in SAT(f)$, and the constants implicit in each $O(\cdot)$ are locally uniform on a, and independent of η in the third estimate. The unhyperbolic locus $UH(f)$ is defined in Definition 1.4 *below. We note that $UH(f) \cap \mathcal{F}(f) = AT(f)$.*

More interestingly, in arithmetic dynamics, Favre and Rivera-Letelier [13, Corollaire 1.6] estimated the order of (1.1) by $O(\sqrt{kd^{-k}})$ for each C^1-test function ϕ on P^1 and every *algebraic* $a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus SAT(f)$ (see (1.17) below). One of our aims is to establish such a *quantitative equidistribution* for general valued fields K. For this purpose, we study quantitatively the *f-asymptotic Fekete property* of $((f^k)^*(a))$ on P^1 in terms of the *proximity* of *wandering* critical orbits of f to the initial point a.

Definition 1.2. Let $[\cdot,\cdot]$ be the normalized chordal metric on $\mathbb{P}^1$. A chordal open ball in $\mathbb{P}^1$ of center $w \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and radius $r > 0$ is $B[w,r] := \{z \in \mathbb{P}^1; [z,w] < r\}$. For any subset $S \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ and $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$, put $[z,S] := \inf_{w\in S}[z,w]$.

Under the action f on $\mathbb{P}^1$, a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is said to be *wandering* if $\#\{f^k(z_0); k \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}\} = \infty$. We say z_0 to be *preperiodic* if z_0 is not wandering. For each $z_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$, the *omega limit set* of $(f^k(z_0))$, or of z_0, in $\mathbb{P}^1$ is

$$\omega(z_0) := \bigcap_{N\in\mathbb{N}} \overline{\{f^k(z_0); k \geq N\}},$$

where the closure is taken in $\mathbb{P}^1$ under $[\cdot,\cdot]$ (then $\lim_{k\to\infty}[f^k(z_0),\omega(z_0)] = 0$). We say $z_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ to be *pre-recurrent* if $\{f^k(z_0); k \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}\} \cap \omega(z_0) \neq \emptyset$, and especially to be *recurrent* if $z_0 \in \omega(z_0)$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} C(f) &:= \{c \in \mathbb{P}^1; f'(c) = 0\},\\ C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}} &:= \{c \in C(f); c \text{ is wandering under } f\},\\ \mathrm{CO}(f)_{\mathrm{wan}} &:= \{f^k(c); c \in C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}}, k \in \mathbb{N}\},\\ \mathrm{PC}(f) &:= \overline{\{f^k(c); c \in C(f), k \in \mathbb{N}\}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the final definition, the closure is taken in $\mathbb{P}^1$ under $[\cdot,\cdot]$.

If f has characteristic 0, then the Riemann-Hurwitz formula asserts that there are exactly $(2d-2)$ critical points of f in $\mathbb{P}^1$ taking into account the multiplicity $(\deg_c f - 1)$ of each $c \in C(f)$.

Remark 1.1. In Section 2, we gather a background on the potential theory and dynamics on P^1. For non-archimedean K, the chordal metric $[\cdot,\cdot]$ extends to d and to δ_{can} on P^1 respectively as the small model metric and the generalized Hsia kernel with respect to the canonical point $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{can}} \in \mathsf{P}^1$, and the big model metric ρ is also introduced on H^1. The equipped (Gel'fand) topology of P^1 (resp. H^1) is strictly weaker than that from d (resp. ρ).

The f-kernel

$$\Phi_f(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}') = \log\delta_{\mathrm{can}}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}') - g_f(\mathcal{S}) - g_f(\mathcal{S}')$$

on P^1 is introduced in (2.9) in Section 2, where g_f is the dynamical Green function of f on P^1. We note that $-\Phi_f$ agrees with the Arakelov Green (kernel) function of f in [3, §10.2], and that

$$\{(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}') \in \mathsf{P}^1\times\mathsf{P}^1; \Phi_f(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}') = -\infty\} = \mathrm{diag}_{\mathbb{P}^1} := \{(z,z) \in \mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1; z \in \mathbb{P}^1\}.$$

A dynamical Favre and Rivera-Letelier bilinear form is

$$(\mu,\mu')_f := -\int_{\mathsf{P}^1\times\mathsf{P}^1\setminus\mathrm{diag}_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \Phi_f(z,w)\mathrm{d}(\mu\times\mu')(z,w) \tag{1.3}$$

for Radon measures μ,μ' on P^1 (if exists). For each $a\in\mathbb{P}^1$ and each $k\in\mathbb{N}$, the following quantities

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_f(k,a) &:= -\frac{1}{d^{2k}}((f^k)^*(a),(f^k)^*(a))_f,\\ \eta_{a,k}=\eta_{a,k}(f) &:= \max_{w\in f^{-k}(a)}\deg_w(f^k),\\ D_{a,k}=D_{a,k}(f) &:= ((f^k)^*(a)\times(f^k)^*(a))(\mathrm{diag}_{\mathbb{P}^1})\\ &=\int_{\mathsf{P}^1}\deg_w(f^k)\mathrm{d}((f^k)^*(a))(w)=\sum_{w\in f^{-k}(a)}(\deg_w(f^k))^2\in[d^k,d^k\eta_{a,k}]\end{aligned}\tag{1.4}$$

are fundamental.

Fact 1.1. If K has characteristic 0, then $E(f)$ and $SAT(f)$ are respectively characterized as follows (cf. [10, Lemma 1]):

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{j\to\infty}\eta_{a,j}^{1/j}&\begin{cases}\le (d^3-1)^{1/3} & (a\in\mathbb{P}^1\setminus E(f))\\ =d & (a\in E(f))\end{cases},\\ \sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\eta_{a,j}&\begin{cases}\le d^{2d-2} & (a\in\mathbb{P}^1\setminus SAT(f))\\ =\infty & (a\in SAT(f))\end{cases}.\end{aligned}\tag{1.5}$$

One of our principal results is the following estimates of $\mathcal{E}_f(k,a)$ in terms of the proximity of wandering critical orbits $\mathrm{CO}(f)_{\mathrm{wan}}$ to the initial $a\in\mathsf{P}^1$:

Theorem 1. *Let f be a rational function on $\mathbb{P}^1=\mathbb{P}^1(K)$ of degree $d>1$. Then for every $a\in\mathsf{H}^1$ and every $k\in\mathbb{N}$,*

$$|\mathcal{E}_f(k,a)|\le\frac{\Phi_f(a,a)}{d^k},\tag{1.6}$$

and $a\mapsto\Phi_f(a,a)$ is locally bounded on H^1 under ρ. If in addition K has characteristic 0, then there is $C_f>0$ such that for every $a\in\mathbb{P}^1$ and every $k\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{1}{d^k}\sum_{j=1}^k\left(\eta_{a,j}\sum_{c\in C(f)\setminus f^{-j}(a)}\frac{1}{d^j}\log\frac{1}{[f^j(c),a]}\right)-\frac{C_f}{d^k}\sum_{j=1}^k\eta_{a,j}-\frac{C_{f,a}}{d^k}\\ &\le\mathcal{E}_f(k,a)\le-\frac{1}{d^k}\sum_{j=1}^k\left(\sum_{c\in C(f)\setminus f^{-j}(a)}\frac{1}{d^j}\log\frac{1}{[f^j(c),a]}\right)+\frac{C_f}{d^k}\sum_{j=1}^k\eta_{a,j}+\frac{C_{f,a}}{d^k}.\end{aligned}\tag{1.7}$$

Here the extra constant $C_{f,a}>0$ is independent of k, and even vanishes if $a\in\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\mathrm{CO}(f)_{\mathrm{wan}}$. The sums over $C(f)\setminus f^{-j}(a)$ take into account the multiplicity $(\deg_c f-1)$ *of each c.*

The constants C_f and $C_{f,a}$ are concretely given in Section 3 below.

Remark 1.2. The upper estimate of $\mathcal{E}_f(k,a)$ in (1.7) improves [1, Theorem 1.1] and [13, Propositions 2.8, 4.9] by the first *proximity* term of wandering critical orbits to the initial $a\in\mathbb{P}^1$.

In Section 2, we introduce the notion of f-asymptotic Fekete configuration on P^1 to sequences of positive measures whose supports consist of finitely many points in P^1. Here we mention that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathrm{Fekete}}(f) :=&\{a \in \mathsf{P}^1; ((f^k)^*(a)) \text{ is not } f\text{-asymptotically Fekete on } \mathsf{P}^1\} \\ =&E(f) \cup \{a \in \mathsf{P}^1 \setminus E(f); \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathcal{E}_f(k,a) \neq 0\} \end{aligned}$$

(see Remark 2.1 below). We call $E_{\mathrm{Fekete}}(f)$ the *non-Fekete locus* of f.

Fact 1.2. Suppose that K has characteristic 0. By the classification of cyclic Fatou components (essentially due to Fatou, cf. [24, Theorem 5.2]) and its non-archimedean counterpart due to Rivera-Letelier ([27, Théorème de Classification]), each Berkovich Fatou component U of f is either

- a wandering domain, that is, for any distinct $m, n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, $f^m(U)\cap f^n(U) = \emptyset$, or
- a component of (super)attracting or parabolic basin, or
- the other, which we call a *presingular domain* of f.

By definition, for every presingular domain U, there are $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^p(f^m(U)) = f^m(U)$, and by [14, Proposition 2.16], the restriction of f^p to $f^m(U)$ is injective. Historically, a cyclic (Berkovich) Fatou component of period p on which f^p is injective was called a singular domain (un domaine singulier) of f (cf. [11, §28]). For archimedean K, each singular domain is either a Siegel disk or an Herman ring.

Definition 1.3. Let $\mathrm{PS}(f)$ be the the union of all presingular domains of f.

For K having characteristic 0, put $C_0 := -\min_{c\in C(f)\cap\mathcal{F}(f)} \log[c, \mathcal{J}(f)] + 2\sup_{\mathbb{P}^1}|g_f| > 0$ and

$$E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f) =: \bigcup_{c\in C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}}\cap(\mathcal{J}(f)\cup\mathrm{PS}(f))} \bigcap_{N\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j\geq N} B[f^j(c), \exp(-C_0 d^j)], \tag{1.8}$$

which is of finite Hyllengren measure for increasing $(d^j) \subset \mathbb{N}$, so of capacity 0 (Lemma 2.1).

The estimates of $\mathcal{E}_f(k,a)$ from below and above in (1.7) are respectively essential in estimating the size of $E_{\mathrm{Fekete}}(f)$ from above and below.

Theorem 2. *Let f be a rational function on $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ of degree $d > 1$, and suppose that K has characteristic* 0. *Then*

$$E_{\mathrm{Fekete}}(f) \setminus E(f) \subset E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f) \setminus E(f). \tag{1.9}$$

Moreover, if $c \in C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}}$ is pre-recurrent (*then this c belongs to $\mathcal{J}(f)\cup\mathrm{PS}(f)$ from Fact* 1.2), *$E_{\mathrm{Fekete}}(f) \cap \omega(c)$ is G_δ-dense in $\omega(c)$ under* $[\cdot,\cdot]$.

Let us come back to estimating (1.1). The following is a version of Favre and Rivera-Letelier [13, Théorème 7].

Proposition 1. *Let f be a rational function on $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ of degree $d > 1$. Then for every $a \in \mathsf{H}^1$, every C^1-test function ϕ on P^1 and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1} \phi \mathrm{d}\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k} - \mu_f\right)\right| \leq \langle\phi,\phi\rangle^{1/2}\sqrt{|\mathcal{E}_f(k,a)|}. \tag{1.10}$$

Moreover, there is $C_{\mathrm{FRL}} > 0$ *such that for every* $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$*, every* C^1*-test function* ϕ *on* P^1 *and every* $k \in \mathbb{N}$*,*

$$\left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1} \phi \mathrm{d}\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k} - \mu_f\right)\right| \le C_{\mathrm{FRL}} \max\{\mathrm{Lip}(\phi), \langle\phi,\phi\rangle^{1/2}\}\sqrt{|\mathcal{E}_f(k,a)| + kd^{-2k}D_{a,k}}. \tag{1.11}$$

Here $\mathrm{Lip}(\phi)$ *is the Lipschitz constant of the restriction of* ϕ *to* $\mathbb{P}^1$ *under* $[\cdot,\cdot]$*, and* $\langle\phi,\phi\rangle^{1/2}$ *is the Dirichlet norm of* ϕ*.*

Remark 1.3. For the C^1-regularity of test functions on P^1 in non-archimedean K case, see Section 2. The dependence of C_{FRL} on f will be seen concretely in Section 4. If K is archimedean ($\cong \mathbb{C}$), then each C^1-test function ϕ satisfies $\langle\phi,\phi\rangle^{1/2} \le \mathrm{Lip}(\phi)$, and any Lipschitz continuous test function on $\mathbb{P}^1$ under $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is approximated by C^1-test functions on $\mathbb{P}^1$ in the Lipschitz norm. Hence the estimate (1.11) extends to every Lipschitz continuous test function ϕ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ under $[\cdot,\cdot]$ (and every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$) as

$$\left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1} \phi \mathrm{d}\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k} - \mu_f\right)\right| \le C_{\mathrm{FRL}}\,\mathrm{Lip}(\phi)\sqrt{|\mathcal{E}_f(k,a)| + kd^{-2k}D_{a,k}}.$$

As a consequence, (1.12) and (1.13) below also extend similarly.

The first principal estimate of (1.1) is

Theorem 3. *Let* f *be a rational function on* $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ *of degree* $d > 1$*. Then for every* $a \in \mathsf{H}^1$*, every* C^1*-test function* ϕ *on* P^1 *and every* $k \in \mathbb{N}$*,*

$$\left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1} \phi \mathrm{d}\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k} - \mu_f\right)\right| \le \langle\phi,\phi\rangle^{1/2}\sqrt{\Phi_f(a,a)d^{-k}},$$

and $a \mapsto \Phi_f(a,a)$ *is locally bounded on* H^1 *under* ρ*. If in addition* K *has characteristic* 0*, then for every* $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$*, every* C^1*-test function* ϕ *on* P^1 *and every* $k \in \mathbb{N}$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1} \phi \mathrm{d}\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k} - \mu_f\right)\right| &\le C_{\mathrm{FRL}} \max\{\mathrm{Lip}(\phi), \langle\phi,\phi\rangle^{1/2}\}\times \\ &\sqrt{(2d-2)\left(\max_{j\in\{1,\dots,k\}} \max_{c \in C(f)\setminus f^{-j}(a)} \frac{1}{d^j}\log\frac{1}{[f^j(c),a]}\right) + C_f + C_{f,a} + 1} \\ &\times \sqrt{\max\left\{\frac{1}{d^k}\sum_{j=1}^k \eta_{a,j},\ k\frac{D_{a,k}}{d^{2k}}\right\}}.\end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

Here the constants C_f *and* $C_{f,a}$ *appear in Theorem* 1*, and the sum over* $C(f) \setminus f^{-j}(a)$ *takes into account the multiplicity* $(\deg_c f - 1)$ *of each* c*.*

Recall the definition (1.8) of $E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)$, which is of capacity 0. The second principal estimate of (1.1) is

Theorem 4. *Let* f *be a rational function on* $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ *of degree* $d > 1$*, and suppose that* K *has characteristic* 0*. Then for every* $a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)$*,* *there is $C > 0$ such that every C^1-test function ϕ on P^1 and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1} \phi \mathrm{d}\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k} - \mu_f\right)\right| \le C \max\{\mathrm{Lip}(\phi), \langle\phi,\phi\rangle^{1/2}\} \sqrt{\max\left\{\frac{1}{d^k}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \eta_{a,j}, k\frac{D_{a,k}}{d^{2k}}\right\}}. \tag{1.13}$$

Furthermore, for every $a_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \mathrm{PC}(f)$ and every $r_0 \in (0, [a_0, \mathrm{PC}(f)])$, there is $C' > 0$ such that for every C^1-test function ϕ on P^1 and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{a \in B[a_0, r_0]} \left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1} \phi \mathrm{d}\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k} - \mu_f\right)\right| \le C' \max\{\mathrm{Lip}(\phi), \langle\phi,\phi\rangle^{1/2}\}\sqrt{kd^{-k}}.$$

Remark 1.4. Suppose that K has characteristic 0. For every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\max\left\{\frac{1}{d^k}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \eta_{a,j}, k\frac{D_{a,k}}{d^{2k}}\right\} \le kd^{-k}\eta_{a,k}, \tag{1.14}$$

which is asymptotically optimal as $k \to \infty$ if $a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus SAT(f)$. By (1.5), we also have $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \eta_{a,k} \le d^{2d-2}$ if $a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus SAT(f)$. If $a \in SAT(f) \setminus E(f)$, we have a better order estimate $O(d^{-k}\eta_{a,k})$ of the left hand side as $k \to \infty$. Note that $(SAT(f) \setminus E(f)) \cap E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f) = \emptyset$, and from $\#SAT(f) < \infty$, that $E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f) \cup SAT(f)$ is still of capacity 0.

Let us recover the *arithmetic* quantitative equidistribution theorem in a *purely local* manner: here, let k be a number field or a function field with a place v, and $\overline{k}$ the algebraic closure of k. Under the *arithmetic setting*, that is,

- setting $K = \mathbb{C}_v$ and
- assuming that f has its coefficients in k,

the dynamical Diophantine approximation due to Silverman [29, Theorem E] and Szpiro and Tucker [32, Proposition 4.3] asserts that for every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{k}) \setminus E(f)$ and every wandering $z \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{k})$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \log[f^n(z), a]_v = 0. \tag{1.15}$$

(the dependence of $[\cdot,\cdot], E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)$ and μ_f on v is emphasized by the suffix v). Since $(E(f) \subset SAT(f) \subset)C(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{k})$, a consequence of (1.15) is

$$E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)_v \cap \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{k}) \subset E(f), \tag{1.16}$$

and Theorem 4 recovers Favre and Rivera-Letelier's arithmetic quantitative equidistribution theorem [13, Corollaire 1.6]: under the above arithmetic setting, *for every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{k}) \setminus E(f)$, there is $C > 0$ such that every C^1-test function ϕ on $\mathsf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

(1.17)

$$\left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)} \phi \mathrm{d}\left(\frac{(f^n)^*(a)}{d^n} - \mu_{f,v}\right)\right| \le C \max\{\mathrm{Lip}(\phi), \langle\phi,\phi\rangle^{1/2}\}\sqrt{kd^{-k}\eta_{a,k}}$$

($\mathrm{Lip}(\phi)$ and $\langle\phi,\phi\rangle^{1/2}$ also depend on v).

Remark 1.5. Theorem 2 with (1.16) also implies

$$E_{\mathrm{Fekete}}(f)_v \cap \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{k}) = E(f)$$

($E_{\mathrm{Fekete}}(f)$ also depends on v). This is a substance of the *adelic equidistribution theorem* due to Baker and Rumely [2, Theorems 2.3 and 4.9], Chambert-Loir [7, Théorème 3.1] and Favre and Rivera-Letelier [13, Théorèmes 3 et 7].

It seems interesting to determine when $E_{\mathrm{Fekete}}(f) = E(f)$ holds. By Theorem 2, this is the case under the condition

$$C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}} \cap (\mathcal{J}(f) \cap \mathrm{PS}(f)) = \emptyset. \tag{1.18}$$

Let us study this problem further under the assumption that K is archimedean. For complex dynamics, see [24].

Definition 1.4. We say f to be *semihyperbolic at* $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ if there is $r > 0$ such that $\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \max_{V^{-k}} \deg(f^k : V^{-k} \to B[a,r]) < \infty$, where V^{-k} ranges over all components of $f^{-k}(B[a,r])$. The unhyperbolic locus $UH(f)$ is the set of all points at which f is not semihyperbolic (as in Theorem 1.1).

From Mañé [22, Theorem II, Corollary], $UH(f) \cap \mathcal{J}(f)$ agrees with

$$\left(\bigcup_{c\in C(f)\cap\mathcal{J}(f),\ \mathrm{recurrent}} \omega(c)\right) \cup \{\text{parabolic periodic points of } f\},$$

and each of Cremer periodic points of f and components of the boundaries of Siegel disks and Herman rings of f is contained in $\omega(c)$ for some recurrent $c \in C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}} \cap \mathcal{J}(f)$ (a generalization of a theorem of Fatou). Hence by the final assertion of Theorem 2,

Corollary 1. *Let f be a rational function on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ of degree > 1. If either there is a Cremer periodic point or $\mathrm{PS}(f) \neq \emptyset$, then $E(f) \subsetneq E_{\mathrm{Fekete}}(f)$. Indeed, $E_{\mathrm{Fekete}}(f) \cap \mathcal{J}(f)$ is uncountable.*

Definition 1.5. We say f to be *geometrically finite* if $C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}} \cap \mathcal{J}(f) = \emptyset$, or to be *semihyperbolic* if $UH(f) \cap \mathcal{J}(f) = \emptyset$.

From Mañé's theorem, if f is either geometrically finite or semihyperbolic, then f has no Cremer periodic points and $\mathrm{PS}(f) = \emptyset$. Hence the condition (1.18) is formally improved as

Corollary 2. *If f is geometrically finite, then $E_{\mathrm{Fekete}}(f) = E(f)$.*

Remark 1.6. It is possible to construct a semihyperbolic real bimodal cubic polynomial f with one (non-recurrent and) pre-recurrent critical point in $J(f)$, so that $E_{\mathrm{Fekete}}(f) \cap \mathcal{J}(f) \neq \emptyset$, using the kneading theory for bimodal maps having one strictly preperiodic critical point developed by Mihalache [23]. Alternatively, the 1-parameter family of polynomials in [26, §6.1] also produces a semihyperbolic (complex) polynomial with the same property.

In Section 2, we gather background material on dynamics and potential theory on P^1. In Section 3 we show Theorems 1, 2, 3 and 4. In Section 4 we give a proof of Proposition 1.

2. Background

For the foundation including the construction of Laplacian Δ on P^1, see [3], [13], [19].

We denote the origin of K^2 by 0. Both the maximum norm on K^2 for non-archimedean K and the Euclidean norm on K^2 for archimedean K are denoted by the same $|\cdot|$. Let $\pi : K^2 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ be the canonical projection. Put $(z_0, z_1) \wedge (w_0, w_1) := z_0 w_1 - z_1 w_0$ on $K^2 \times K^2$. The normalized chordal distance $[z, w]$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is

$$[z, w] := |p \wedge q|/(|p| \cdot |q|)$$

if $p \in \pi^{-1}(z)$ and $q \in \pi^{-1}(w)$. For non-archimedean K, the canonical (or Gauss) point $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{can}}$ of P^1 is the unit ball $\{z \in K; |z| \le 1\}$ in K.

Suppose that K is non-archimedean. The strong triangle inequality implies that any point of a ball $\mathcal{S}$ in K can be its center, and its radius and the diameter $\operatorname{diam}\mathcal{S}$ are identical. For balls $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}'$ in K, $\mathcal{S} \wedge \mathcal{S}'$ is the smallest ball in K containing $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}'$. The *Hsia kernel* $\delta_\infty(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathsf{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$ is an extension of $|z - w|$ for $z, w \in K$ so that

$$\delta_\infty(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}') = \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{S} \wedge \mathcal{S}') \tag{2.1}$$

for balls $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}'$ in K.

The *big model metric* ρ *on* H^1 is an extension of the *modulus*

$$\rho(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}') := \log(\operatorname{diam}\mathcal{S}' / \operatorname{diam}\mathcal{S})$$

for balls $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}'$ in K as a path-length metric on H^1. Let $\mathrm{d}\rho$ be the 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure on H^1 under ρ. A function ϕ on P^1 is said to be C^1 if it is locally constant on P^1 except for a finite sub-tree in H^1 and if $\phi' = \mathrm{d}\phi/\mathrm{d}\rho$ exists and is continuous there. The Dirichlet norm of ϕ is defined by $\langle \phi, \phi \rangle^{1/2}$, where $\langle \phi, \phi \rangle$ is the integration of $(\phi')^2$ in $\mathrm{d}\rho$ over H^1 ([13, §5.5]).

Fact 2.1. Since $PGL(2, K)$ is the linear fractional isometry group on H^1 under ρ ([13, §3.4]), the Dirichlet norm $\langle \phi, \phi \rangle^{1/2}$ of ϕ is $PGL(2, K)$-invariant in that for every $h \in PGL(2, K)$, $\langle h^*\phi, h^*\phi \rangle = \langle \phi, \phi \rangle$.

Put $|\mathcal{S}| := \delta_\infty(\mathcal{S}, 0) = \sup_{z \in \mathcal{S}} |z|$ for each ball $\mathcal{S}$ in K. The *small model metric* d on P^1 is an extension of $[\cdot, \cdot]$ as a path-length metric on P^1 so that

$$\mathsf{d}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}') = \frac{\operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{S} \wedge \mathcal{S}')}{\max\{1, |\mathcal{S}|\} \max\{1, |\mathcal{S}'|\}} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{diam}\mathcal{S}}{\max\{1, |\mathcal{S}|\}^2} + \frac{\operatorname{diam}\mathcal{S}'}{\max\{1, |\mathcal{S}'|\}^2}\right)$$

for balls $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}'$ in K. For the potential theory on P^1, another extension of $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is essential. The *generalized Hsia kernel* $\delta_{\mathrm{can}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ on P^1 with respect to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{can}}$ is an extension of $[\cdot, \cdot]$ so that

$$\delta_{\mathrm{can}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}') = \frac{\operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{S} \wedge \mathcal{S}')}{\max\{1, |\mathcal{S}|\} \max\{1, |\mathcal{S}'|\}}$$

for balls $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}'$ in K. Then $\{a \in \mathsf{P}^1; \delta_{\mathrm{can}}(a, a) = 0\} = \mathbb{P}^1$.

For archimedean $K \cong \mathbb{C}$, we put $\delta_\infty(z, w) := |z - w|$ $(z, w \in K)$, and define $\delta_{\mathrm{can}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ by $[\cdot, \cdot]$ as convention. We define C^1-regularity and the Lipschitz continuity under $[\cdot, \cdot]$ of functions on $\mathbb{P}^1$ as usual.

Fact 2.2. For archimedean K, $PSU(2,K)$ is the linear fractional isometry group on $\mathbb{P}^1$ under $[\cdot,\cdot]$. For non-archimedean K, so is $PGL(2,\mathcal{O}_K)$, where $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_{K,v}$ is the ring of integers of K (cf. [4, §1]). The *chordal kernel* $\log\delta_{\mathrm{can}}(\cdot,\cdot)$ is interpreted as a Gromov product on P^1 by the equality

$$\log\delta_{\mathrm{can}}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}') = -\rho(\mathcal{S}'',\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{can}}) \tag{2.2}$$

for balls $\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}' \in \mathsf{P}^1$, where $\mathcal{S}''$ is the unique point in P^1 such that it lies between $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}'$, between $\mathcal{S}'$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{can}}$, and between $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{can}}$.

Let f be a rational function of degree $d > 1$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$. A *lift* F of f is a homogeneous polynomial map $F : K^2 \to K^2$ such that $\pi \circ F = f \circ \pi$ and that $F^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$, i.e., non-degenerate, and is unique upto multiplication in $K^* = K \setminus \{0\}$. The action of f on $\mathbb{P}^1$ canonically extends to a continuous, open, surjective and fiber-discrete endomorphism on P^1, and to each $a \in \mathsf{P}^1$, the local degree $\deg_a f$ of f at a also canonically extends. The pullback f^* and push-forward f_* on the space of continuous functions on P^1 and that of Radon measures on P^1 are defined as usual. The dynamical Green function of F is the uniform limit

$$g_F := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(f^j)^* T_F}{d^j}$$

on P^1, where $T_F := (\log|F|)/d - \log|\cdot|$ descends to $\mathbb{P}^1$ and extends continuously to P^1. For other lifts of f, which are written as cF for some $c \in K^*$, the homogeneity of F implies

$$g_{cF} = g_F + \frac{1}{d-1}\log|c|. \tag{2.3}$$

Fact 2.3. The uniform limit

$$G^F := (g_F|\mathbb{P}^1) \circ \pi + \log|\cdot| = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{d^k}\log|F^k| \tag{2.4}$$

on $K^2 \setminus \{0\}$ is called the escaping rate function of F, and satisfies that $G^F \circ F = d \cdot G^F$.

The Laplacian Δ on P^1 is normalized so that for every $w \in \mathsf{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$,

$$\Delta \log\delta_\infty(\cdot, w) = (w) - (\infty).$$

For non-archimedean K, see [3, §5], [12, §7.7], [33, §3]: in [3] the opposite sign convention on Δ is adopted.

The equilibrium measure of f is

$$\mu_f := \begin{cases} \Delta g_F + (\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{can}}) & (K \text{ is non-archimedean}), \\ \Delta g_F + \omega & (K \text{ is archimedean}), \end{cases}$$

where for archimedean K, ω is the normalized Fubini-Study area element on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Then μ_f is a probability Radon measure on P^1 and independent of choices of F. Moreover, μ_f has no atom in $\mathbb{P}^1$ and is balanced and invariant under f in that

$$\frac{f^*\mu_f}{d} = \mu_f = f_*\mu_f.$$

Fact 2.4. The extended endomorphism f on $(\mathsf{P}^1, \mathsf{d})$ is M-Lipschitz continuous for some $M > d$, and the function T_F is Lipschitz continuous on $(\mathsf{P}^1, \mathsf{d})$ (for non-archimedean K, see [20, Theorems 10, 13]). Put $\kappa := (\log d)/(\log M) \in (0,1)$. Then there is $C > 0$ such that for every $\alpha \in (0,\kappa)$, every $z \in \mathsf{P}^1$ and every $w \in \mathsf{P}^1$,

$$|g_F(z) - g_F(w)| \le C \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\frac{M^\alpha}{d})^j \right) \mathsf{d}(z,w)^\alpha,$$

that is, g_F is α-Hölder continuous on P^1 under d. With more effort, it is possible to shows that g_F is indeed κ-Hölder continuous on P^1 under d (cf. [13, §6.6]). The uniform limit $g_\infty := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (f^j)^* T_{F,\infty}/d^j$ on P^1, where $|\cdot|_{\max}$ is the maximum norm on K^2 and $T_{F,\infty} := (\log |F|_{\max})/d - \log|\cdot|_{\max}$ descends to $\mathbb{P}^1$ and extends continuously to P^1, agrees with g_F for non-archimedean K. For archimedean K, g_∞ is also κ-Hölder continuous on $\mathbb{P}^1$ under $[\cdot,\cdot]$ and satisfies that $\Delta g_\infty = \mu_f - \lambda_1$, where λ_1 is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit circle in $K \cong \mathbb{C}$.

We refer [5] for an abstract potential theory on a locally compact topological space equipped with an upper semicontinuous kernel. For non-archimedean K, see [3], and for archimedean K, see [28], [34].

Fact 2.5. The *chordal capacity* of a Borel set E in P^1 is defined by

$$\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathrm{can}}(E) := \exp\left(\sup_{\mu} \int_{\mathsf{P}^1 \times \mathsf{P}^1} \log \delta_{\mathrm{can}}(z,w) \mathrm{d}(\mu \times \mu)(z,w) \right),$$

where the supremum is taken over all probability Radon measures μ on P^1 with $\operatorname{supp}\mu \subset E$. If E is compact, then the sup can be replaced by max, and if in addition $\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathrm{can}}(E) > 0$, then the max is taken at the unique μ, and the *chordal potential*

$$U_{\mathrm{can},\mu}(z) := \int_{\mathsf{P}^1} \log \delta_{\mathrm{can}}(z,w) \mathrm{d}\mu(w)$$

for this μ is bounded from below by $\log \mathrm{Cap}_{\mathrm{can}}(E)$ on P^1.

Definition 2.1. A Borel set E in P^1 is *of capacity* 0 if $\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathrm{can}}(E) = 0$.

For example, a subset in P^1 of finite Hyllengren measure for an increasing sequence in $\mathbb{N}$ is of logarithmic measure 0 under d, so of capacity 0 (cf. [31, §2]). In particular,

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that K has characteristic* 0. *Then $E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)$ in* (1.8) *is of capacity* 0.

Proof. We include a direct proof. Suppose $\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathrm{can}}(E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)) > 0$. Then there is a probability Radon measure μ with $\operatorname{supp}\mu \subset E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)$ such that the chordal potential $U_{\mathrm{can},\mu}$ is bounded (from below) on P^1 (Fact 2.5). Put $C := -\inf_{z \in \mathsf{P}^1} U_{\mathrm{can},\mu}(z) (\ge 0)$. For every $z \in E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)$ and every $r \in (0,1)$,

$$(\log r)\mu(B[z,r]) \ge \int_{B[z,r]} \log \delta_{\mathrm{can}}(z,w) \mathrm{d}\mu(w) \ge -C,$$

that is, $\mu(B[z,r]) \le C(\log(r^{-1}))^{-1}$. Hence for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mu(E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)) \le \sum_{c \in C(f) \cap (\mathcal{J}(f) \cup \mathrm{PS}(f))} \sum_{j \ge N} \mu(B[f^j(c), C_0 \exp(-d^j)]) \\ \le (2d-2) \sum_{j \ge N} C(d^j - \log C_0)^{-1},$$

so $\mu(E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)) = 0$ (as $N \to \infty$). This contradicts that $\mu(E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)) = 1$. □

Let us introduce the dynamically weighted F-kernel

$$\Phi_F(z,w) := \log \delta_{\mathrm{can}}(z,w) - g_F(z) - g_F(w)$$

on P^1, which satisfies that for every $w \in \mathsf{P}^1$,

$$\Delta \Phi_F(\cdot, w) = (w) - \mu_f, \tag{2.5}$$

and the comparison

$$\sup_{\mathsf{P}^1 \times \mathsf{P}^1} |\Phi_F - \log \delta_{\mathrm{can}}| \le 2 \sup_{\mathsf{P}^1} |g_F| < \infty. \tag{2.6}$$

The F-kernel Φ_F is upper semicontinuous, and for each Radon measure μ on P^1, introduces the F-potential $U_{F,\mu}$ on P^1 and the F-energy $I_F(\mu)$ as

$$U_{F,\mu}(z) := \int_{\mathsf{P}^1} \Phi_F(z,w) \mathrm{d}\mu(w),$$
$$I_F(\mu) := \int_{\mathsf{P}^1} U_{F,\mu} \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{\mathsf{P}^1 \times \mathsf{P}^1} \Phi_F \mathrm{d}(\mu \times \mu)$$

(if exists). From (2.5) and the Fubini theorem,

$$\Delta U_{F,\mu} = \mu - \mu(\mathsf{P}^1) \cdot \mu_f. \tag{2.7}$$

A probability Radon measure μ is called an F-equilibrium measure *on* P^1 if μ maximizes the F-energy among probability Radon measures on P^1 in that

$$I_F(\mu) = V_F := \sup\{I_F(\nu) : \nu \text{ is a probability Radon measure on } \mathsf{P}^1\},$$

and V_F is called the F-equilibrium energy of P^1. The comparison (2.6) implies that $V_F > -\infty$, from which there is the unique F-equilibrium measure on P^1 and for every probability Radon measure μ on P^1,

$$\inf_{z \in \mathsf{P}^1} U_{F,\mu}(z) \le V_F \le \sup_{z \in \mathsf{P}^1} U_{F,\mu}(z). \tag{2.8}$$

For non-archimedean K, see also the continuity of $U_{F,\mu}$ ([3, Lemma 5.24], [14, §2.4]) and the property of Δ ([3, Proposition 8.66], [14, §2.4]). A characterization of μ_f as the unique solution of a Gauss variational problem is

Lemma 2.2. *The equilibrium measure μ_f of f is the unique F-equilibrium measure on P^1, that is,*

$$\int_{\mathsf{P}^1 \times \mathsf{P}^1} \Phi_F \mathrm{d}(\mu_f \times \mu_f) = V_F;$$

indeed, $U_{F,\mu_f} \equiv V_F$.

Proof. From (2.7), $\Delta U_{F,\mu_f} = 0$, so U_{F,μ_f} is constant on P^1. From (2.8), indeed $U_{F,\mu_f} \equiv V_F$, and $I_F(\mu_f) = V_F$. $\Box$

Let us introduce the more canonical f-kernel

$$\Phi_f(z,w) := \Phi_F(z,w) - V_F \tag{2.9}$$

on P^1, which is independent of choices of F from (2.3). For each Radon measure μ on P^1, its f-potential is

$$U_\mu := \int_{\mathsf{P}^1} \Phi_f(\cdot, w)\mathrm{d}\mu(w) = U_{F,\mu} - \mu(\mathsf{P}^1)V_F$$

on P^1. We note that

$$\Delta U_\mu = \Delta U_{F,\mu} = \mu - \mu(\mathsf{P}^1)\cdot \mu_f,$$
$$U_{\mu_f} = U_{F,\mu_f} - V_f \equiv 0$$

and that the dynamical Green function of f

$$g_f(z) := g_F(z) + \frac{1}{2}V_F = \frac{1}{2}(\log \delta_{\mathrm{can}}(z,z) - \Phi_f(z,z)) \tag{2.10}$$

on P^1 is independent of choices of F.

Recall the definition of G^F in (2.4). The *bifurcation potential* of f is the constant

$$B(f) := \sum_{j=1}^{2d-2}(G^F(C_j^F) + V_F), \tag{2.11}$$

where $(C_j^F) \subset K^2 \setminus \{0\}$ is chosen as $\det DF = \prod_{j=1}^{2d-2}(p \wedge C_j^F)$ (the Jacobian determinant of F), and is independent of choices of both F and (C_j^F).

For the homogeneous resultant $\mathrm{Res}\, P$ of homogeneous polynomial endomorphism P on K^2, see [8, §6], [30, §2.4]. We only mention that P is non-degenerate if and only if $\mathrm{Res}\, P = 0$. For each lift F of f, the energy formula

$$V_F = -\frac{1}{d(d-1)}\log|\mathrm{Res}\, F|$$

was established in [8, Theorem 1.5] for archimedean K, and in [3, §10.2] generalized to non-archimedean K (for a simple computation, see [25, Appendix]).

Lemma 2.3. *For each linear fractional isometry h on $\mathbb{P}^1$ under $[\cdot,\cdot]$, put $f_h := h^{-1} \circ f \circ h$. Then $\mu_{f_h} = h^*\mu_f$. For each $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$, let us identify $h^*(a)$ with $h^{-1}(a)$. Then $f_h^*(h^*(a)) = h^*(f^*(a))$, $\eta_{h^*(a),k}(f_h) = \eta_{a,k}(f)$ and $D_{h^*(a),k}(f_h) = D_{a,k}(f)$. For every $(z,w) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$,*

$$\Phi_{f_h}(z,w) = \Phi_f(h(z),h(w)).$$

For every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_h}(k, h^*(a)) = \mathcal{E}_f(k,a).$$

For each C^1-test function ϕ on P^1, so is $h^*\phi$, and it holds that $\mathrm{Lip}(h^*\phi) = \mathrm{Lip}(\phi)$ *and that* $\langle h^*\phi, h^*\phi\rangle = \langle \phi, \phi\rangle$.

Proof. This is clear except for the displayed two equalities. Let F be a lift of f, and H a lift of h on K^2 normalized as $|\det H| = 1$. Then $F_H := H^{-1} \circ F \circ H$ is a lift of f_h. Under the normalization, H also preserves $|\cdot|$ on K^2, so $g_{F_H} = g_F \circ h$ on P^1. Hence for every $(z, w) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{F_H}(z,w) =& \log[z,w] - g_{F_H}(z) - g_{F_H}(w)\\ =& \log[h(z),h(w)] - g_F(h(z)) - g_F(h(w)) = \Phi_F(h(z),h(w)).\end{aligned}$$

From the formula on Res in [8, Proposition 6.1] and [30, Exercises 2.12],

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Res}(F_H) = \operatorname{Res}(H^{-1}\circ F\circ H) &= (\det H^{-1})^d \operatorname{Res}(F\circ H)^{1^2}\\ &= (\det H)^{-d}((\operatorname{Res} F)^1(\det H)^{d^2}) = (\det H)^d \operatorname{Res} F,\end{aligned}$$

and since $|\det H| = 1$, $|\operatorname{Res}(F_H)| = |\operatorname{Res} F|$, so $V_{F_H} = V_F$. Hence for every $(z,w) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $\Phi_{f_h}(z,w) = \Phi_f(h(z),h(w))$. Finally, for every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{E}_{f_h}(k, h^*(a))\\ =&\frac{1}{d^{2k}}\int_{\mathsf{P}^1\times\mathsf{P}^1\setminus\mathrm{diag}} \Phi_{f_h}(z,w)\mathrm{d}((f_h^k)^*(h^*(a)) \times (f_h^k)^*(h^*(a)))(z,w)\\ =&\frac{1}{d^{2k}}\int_{\mathsf{P}^1\times\mathsf{P}^1\setminus\mathrm{diag}} \Phi_f(h(z),h(w))\mathrm{d}(h^*(f^k)^*(a) \times h^*(f^k)^*(a))(z,w) = \mathcal{E}_f(k,a).\end{aligned}$$

Now the proof is complete. □

Recall the definition (1.3) of dynamical Favre and Rivera-Letelier bilinear form $(\mu, \mu')_f$ for Radon measures μ, μ' on P^1. A classical notion of asymptotic Fekete configuration on a compact subset C in P^1 (see [15], [16]) extends to sequences of positive measures whose supports consist of finitely many points in C. Here, we are only interested in the case of $C = \mathsf{P}^1$:

Definition 2.2. A sequence (ν_k) of positive measures whose supports consist of finitely many points on P^1 is f-asymptotically Fekete (or an f-asymptotic Fekete configuration) on P^1 if as $k \to \infty$, $\nu_k(\mathsf{P}^1) \nearrow \infty$, $(\nu_k \times \nu_k)(\mathrm{diag}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) = o(\nu_k(\mathsf{P}^1)^2)$ and

$$\frac{(\nu_k, \nu_k)_f}{\nu_k(\mathsf{P}^1)^2} \to 0.$$

Remark 2.1. For every $a \in \mathsf{P}^1$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $((f^k)^*(a))(\mathsf{P}^1) = d^k$. If $a \in \mathsf{H}^1$, then $f^{-k}(a) \subset \mathsf{H}^1$, so $D_{a,k} = 0$. If $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$, then $D_{a,k} \le d^k \eta_{a,k} = o(d^{2k})$ by (1.5). Hence for each $a \in \mathsf{P}^1 \setminus E(f)$, it holds that $((f^k)^*(a))$ is f-asymptotically Fekete on P^1 if and only if

$$\mathcal{E}_f(k,a) = \frac{1}{d^{2k}}\int_{\mathsf{P}^1\times\mathsf{P}^1\setminus\mathrm{diag}_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \Phi_f \mathrm{d}((f^k)^*(a) \times (f^k)^*(a)) \to 0$$

as $k \to \infty$. For every $a \in E(f)$, $((f^k)^*(a))$ is not f-asymptotically Fekete on P^1 since for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $D_{a,k} = (((f^k)^*(a))(\mathsf{P}^1))^2$, so the second condition does not hold.

3. Proof of Theorems 1, 2, 3 and 4

Let f be a rational function on $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ of degree $d > 1$.

Lemma 3.1 (cf. [3, Theorem 10.18], [31, Lemma 1.2])**.** *For every $a \in \mathsf{P}^1$ and every $z \in \mathsf{P}^1$,*

$$\Phi_f(f(z), a) = U_{f^*(a)}(z). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. For every $a \in \mathsf{P}^1$, from $f^*\mu_f = d \cdot \mu_f$ and $(f^*(a))(\mathsf{P}^1) = d$,

$$\Delta\Phi_f(f(\cdot), a) = f^*(\Delta\Phi_f(\cdot, a)) = f^*((a) - \mu_f) = f^*(a) - d \cdot \mu_f = \Delta U_{f^*(a)}$$

on P^1. Hence $\Phi_f(f(\cdot), a) - U_{f^*(a)}$ is harmonic, so constant, on P^1. Let us integrate this constant function in $\mathrm{d}\mu_f(\cdot)$ over P^1. Then from $f_*\mu_f = \mu_f$ and $U_{\mu_f} \equiv 0$,

$$\Phi_f(f(\cdot), a) - U_{f^*(a)} \equiv \int_{\mathsf{P}^1} (\Phi_F(f(\cdot), a) - U_{f^*(a)})\mathrm{d}\mu_f = 0$$

on P^1, which is (3.1). □

The estimate (1.6) in Theorem 1 follows from

Lemma 3.2. *For every $a \in \mathsf{H}^1$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{E}_f(k, a) = \Phi_f(a, a)/d^k$. Moreover, $\sup_{a \in \mathsf{P}^1} |\Phi_f(a, a) + \rho(a, \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{can}})| < \infty$.*

Proof. We assume $k = 1$ without loss of generality. Since $f^{-1}(a) \subset \mathsf{H}^1$, integrating (3.1) in $\mathrm{d}(f^*(a))(z)/d^2$ on P^1,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{d}\Phi_f(a, a) = \frac{1}{d^2}\int_{\mathsf{P}^1 \times \mathsf{P}^1} \Phi_f \mathrm{d}(f^*(a) \times f^*(a))\\ = \frac{1}{d^2}\int_{\mathsf{P}^1 \times \mathsf{P}^1 \setminus \mathrm{diag}_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \Phi_f \mathrm{d}(f^*(a) \times f^*(a)) = \mathcal{E}_f(1, a).\end{aligned}$$

By (2.2), $\rho(a, \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{can}}) = -\log \delta_{\mathrm{can}}(a, a)$, so $\sup_{a \in \mathsf{P}^1} |\Phi_f(a, a) + \rho(a, \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{can}})| = \sup_{a \in \mathsf{P}^1} |-2g_f(a)| < \infty$. □

From now on, let us assume that K has characteristic 0.

Definition 3.1. For every $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$, put

$$c_z(f) := \lim_{\mathbb{P}^1 \ni u \to z} \{\Phi_f(f(u), f(z)) - (\deg_z f)\Phi_f(u, z)\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Lemma 3.3. *For every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and every $z \in f^{-1}(a)$,*

$$c_z(f) = \int_{\mathsf{P}^1 \setminus \{z\}} \Phi_f(z, w)\mathrm{d}(f^*(a))(w). \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. For every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and every $z \in f^{-1}(a)$, from Lemma 3.1, for every $u \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{z\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_f(f(u), f(z)) - (\deg_z f)\Phi_f(u, z) = \Phi_f(f(u), a) - (\deg_z f)\Phi_f(u, z)\\ = U_{f^*(a)}(u) - (\deg_z f)\Phi_f(u, z) = \int_{\mathsf{P}^1 \setminus \{z\}} \Phi_f(u, w)\mathrm{d}(f^*(a))(w),\end{aligned}$$

and take $\lim_{\mathbb{P}^1 \ni u \to z}$ of both sides. □

Lemma 3.4. *For every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\mathcal{E}_f(k,a) = \frac{1}{d^{2k}} \int_{\mathsf{P}^1} c_z(f^k) \mathrm{d}((f^k)^*(a))(z). \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. With no loss of generality, we assume that $k = 1$. Integrating (3.3) in $\mathrm{d}(f^*(a))(z)/d^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathsf{P}^1} c_z(f) \frac{\mathrm{d}(f^*(a))(z)}{d^2} &= \frac{1}{d^2} \int_{\mathsf{P}^1} \mathrm{d}(f^*(a))(z) \int_{\mathsf{P}^1 \setminus \{z\}} \Phi_f(z,w) \mathrm{d}(f^*(a))(w) \\ &= \frac{1}{d^2} \int_{\mathsf{P}^1 \times \mathsf{P}^1 \setminus \mathrm{diag}_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \Phi_f \mathrm{d}(f^*(a) \times f^*(a)) = \mathcal{E}_f(1,a).\end{aligned}$$

□

Put $f^0 := \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. Recall that $\deg_z f$ is multiplicative in that for every $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and every $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$\deg_z(f^{m+n}) = \deg_{f^n(z)}(f^m) \deg_z(f^n).$$

Lemma 3.5. *For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$,*

$$c_z(f^k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \deg_{f^j(z)}(f^{k-j}) c_{f^{j-1}(z)}(f). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. This is clear if $k = 1$. Suppose that $k \geq 2$. For every $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and every $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&c_z(f^j) \\ &= \lim_{\mathbb{P}^1 \ni u \to z} \big\{[\Phi_f(f(f^{j-1}(u)), f(f^{j-1}(z))) - \deg_{f^{j-1}(z)}(f) \Phi_f(f^{j-1}(u), f^{j-1}(z))] \\ &\qquad + \deg_{f^{j-1}(z)}(f)[\Phi_f(f^{j-1}(u), f^{j-1}(z)) - \deg_z(f^{j-1}) \Phi_f(u,z)]\big\} \\ &\qquad\qquad = c_{f^{j-1}(z)}(f) + \deg_{f^{j-1}(z)}(f) c_z(f^{j-1}),\end{aligned}$$

so $c_z(f^j)/\deg_z(f^j) - c_z(f^{j-1})/\deg_z(f^{j-1}) = c_{f^{j-1}(z)}(f)/\deg_z(f^j)$. Taking the sum of this over $j = 2, \dots, k$,

$$\frac{c_z(f^k)}{\deg_z(f^k)} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{c_{f^{j-1}(z)}(f)}{\deg_z(f^j)},$$

which is equivalent to (3.5). □

Lemma 3.6. *For every $z \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus C(f)$,*

$$c_z(f) = -\log|d| + B(f) + \sum_{c \in C(f)} \Phi_f(z,c). \tag{3.6}$$

Here the sum takes into account the multiplicity $(\deg_c f - 1)$ *of each* $c \in C(f)$.

Proof. The chordal derivative of f is defined by

$$f^{\#}(z) := \lim_{\mathbb{P}^1 \ni u \to z} [f(u), f(z)]/[u,z]$$

on $\mathbb{P}^1$. For every $z \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus C(f)$, i.e., $\deg_z f = 1$,

$$c_z(f) = \lim_{\mathbb{P}^1 \ni u \to z} \{\Phi_f(f(u), f(z)) - \Phi_f(u,z)\} = \log f^{\#}(z) - 2g_f(f(z)) + 2g_f(z).$$

Let F be a lift F of f. For every $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$, by a direct computation involving Euler's identity,

$$f^{\#}(z) = \frac{1}{|d|} \frac{|p|^2}{|F(p)|^2} |\det DF(p)|$$

if $p \in \pi^{-1}(z)$ (cf. [18, Theorem 4.3]). Choose $(C_j^F) \subset K^2 \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\det DF(p) = \prod_{j=1}^{2d-2}(p \wedge C_j^F)$. Then $\pi(C_j^F)$ $(j = 1, \dots, 2d-2)$ range over $C(f)$. From (2.4) and $G^F \circ F = dG^F$, for every $z \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus C(f)$ and $p \in \pi^{-1}(z)$,

$$c_z(f) = -\log|d| + \sum_{j=1}^{2d-2} (\log|p \wedge C_j^F| - G^F(p)).$$

For every $(z, w) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$,

$$\Phi_f(z, w) = \log|p \wedge q| - G^F(p) - G^F(q) - V_F$$

if $p \in \pi^{-1}(z)$ and $q \in \pi^{-1}(w)$. This implies that $\sum_{j=1}^{2d-2}(\log|p \wedge C_j^F| - G^F(p)) = \sum_{c \in C(f)} \Phi_f(z, c) + B(f)$. Now the proof is complete. $\square$

Lemma 3.7. *There is $C_1 > 0$ such that for every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\left| \mathcal{E}_f(k, a) - \frac{1}{d^k} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{c \in C(f)} \int_{\mathsf{P}^1 \setminus \{c\}} \deg_w(f^j) \Phi_f(w, c) \mathrm{d}\frac{(f^j)^*(a)}{d^j}(w) \right| \le \frac{C_1}{d^k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{D_{a,j}}{d^j}.$$

The sum over $C(f)$ takes into account the multiplicity $(\deg_c f - 1)$ of each $c \in C(f)$.

Proof. Substituting (3.5) in (3.4), for every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_f(k, a) =& \frac{1}{d^{2k}} \int_{\mathsf{P}^1} c_z(f^k) \mathrm{d}((f^k)^*(a))(z) \\
=& \frac{1}{d^{2k}} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\mathsf{P}^1} \deg_{f \circ f^{j-1}(z)}(f^{k-j}) c_{f^{j-1}(z)}(f) \mathrm{d}((f^k)^*(a))(z) \\
=& \frac{1}{d^k} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\mathsf{P}^1} d^{-k+j-1} \deg_{f(w)}(f^{k-j}) c_w(f) \cdot \mathrm{d}((f^{k-j+1})^*(a))(w) \\
=& \frac{1}{d^k} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\mathsf{P}^1} d^{-j} \deg_{f(w)}(f^{j-1}) c_w(f) \mathrm{d}((f^j)^*(a))(w) \\
=& \frac{1}{d^k} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\mathsf{P}^1} \frac{\deg_w(f^j)}{\deg_w f} c_w(f) \mathrm{d}\frac{(f^j)^*(a)}{d^j}(w).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence using Lemma 3.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\mathcal{E}_f(k,a) - \frac{1}{d^k}\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{c\in C(f)} \int_{\mathsf{P}^1\setminus\{c\}} \deg_w(f^j)\Phi_f(w,c)\mathrm{d}\frac{(f^j)^*(a)}{d^j}(w)\right|\\
&\quad= \left|\frac{1}{d^k}\sum_{j=1}^k\biggl(\int_{C(f)} \deg_w(f^j)\frac{c_w(f)}{\deg_w f}\mathrm{d}\frac{(f^j)^*(a)}{d^j}(w)+\right.\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathsf{P}^1\setminus C(f)} \deg_w(f^j)\left(c_w(f)-\sum_{c\in C(f)}\Phi_f(w,c)\right)\mathrm{d}\frac{(f^j)^*(a)}{d^j}(w)\\
&\left.-\sum_{c\in C(f)}\int_{C(f)\setminus\{c\}} \deg_w(f^j)\Phi_f(w,c)\mathrm{d}\frac{(f^j)^*(a)}{d^j}(w)\biggr)\right| \le \frac{1}{d^k}\sum_{j=1}^k C_1\frac{D_{a,j}}{d^j},
\end{aligned}$$

where we set $C_1 := \max_{c\in C(f)}|c_c(f)| + (2d-2)\max_{C(f)\times C(f)\setminus \mathrm{diag}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}|\Phi_f| + (|\log|d|| + |B(f)|) < \infty$. □

Lemma 3.8. *There is $C_2 > 0$ such that for every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{d^k}\sum_{j=1}^k\left(\eta_{a,j}\sum_{c\in C(f)\setminus f^{-j}(a)}\frac{1}{d^j}\Phi_f(f^j(c),a)\right) - \frac{C_2}{d^k}\sum_{j=1}^k \eta_{a,j} - \frac{C_{f,a}}{d^k}\\
&\qquad\le \frac{1}{d^k}\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{c\in C(f)} \int_{\mathsf{P}^1\setminus\{c\}} \deg_w(f^j)\Phi_f(w,c)\mathrm{d}\frac{(f^j)^*(a)}{d^j}(w)\\
&\qquad\le \frac{1}{d^k}\sum_{j=1}^k\left(\sum_{c\in C(f)\setminus f^{-j}(a)}\frac{1}{d^j}\Phi_f(f^j(c),a)\right) + \frac{C_2}{d^k}\sum_{j=1}^k \eta_{a,j} + \frac{C_{f,a}}{d^k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the extra constant $C_{f,a} \ge 0$ is independent of k, and even vanishes if $a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \mathrm{CO}(f)_{\mathrm{wan}}$. The sums over $C(f)$ take into account the multiplicity $(\deg_c f - 1)$ of each $c \in C(f)$.

Proof. For each $c \in C(f)$,

(3.7)

$$\Phi_f(\cdot,c) = \left(\Phi_f(\cdot,c) - \max\{0, \sup_{w\in\mathbb{P}^1}\Phi_f(w,c)\}\right) + \max\{0, \sup_{w\in\mathbb{P}^1}\Phi_f(w,c)\},$$

where the first and second terms of the right hand side are ≤ 0 and ≥ 0, respectively. Set

$$C' := (2d-2)\max_{c\in C(f)}\max\left\{0, \sup_{w\in\mathbb{P}^1}\Phi_f(w,c)\right\} \le 2(2d-2)\sup_{\mathbb{P}^1}|g_f| < \infty.$$

Then for every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, using the decomposition (3.7),

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{a,j} \cdot \sum_{c \in C(f)} \int_{\mathsf{P}^1 \setminus \{c\}} \Phi_f(w,c) \mathrm{d}\frac{(f^j)^*(a)}{d^j}(w) - C'\eta_{a,j} \\
\leq \sum_{c \in C(f)} \int_{\mathsf{P}^1 \setminus \{c\}} \deg_w(f^j) \Phi_f(w,c) \mathrm{d}\frac{(f^j)^*(a)}{d^j}(w) \\
\leq \sum_{c \in C(f)} \int_{\mathsf{P}^1 \setminus \{c\}} \Phi_f(w,c) \mathrm{d}\frac{(f^j)^*(a)}{d^j}(w) + C'd^{-j}D_{a,j},
\end{aligned}$$

and using Lemma 3.3 for f^j,

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{a,j} \left(\sum_{c \in C(f) \setminus f^{-j}(a)} U_{(f^j)^*(a)/d^j}(c) + \sum_{c \in C(f) \cap f^{-j}(a)} \frac{1}{d^j} c_c(f^j) \right) - C'\eta_{a,j} \\
\leq \sum_{c \in C(f)} \int_{\mathsf{P}^1 \setminus \{c\}} \deg_w(f^j) \Phi_f(w,c) \mathrm{d}\frac{(f^j)^*(a)}{d^j}(w) \\
\leq \sum_{c \in C(f) \setminus f^{-j}(a)} U_{(f^j)^*(a)/d^j}(c) + \sum_{c \in C(f) \cap f^{-j}(a)} \frac{1}{d^j} c_c(f^j) + C'd^{-j}D_{a,j}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $c \in (C(f) \setminus C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}}) \cap f^{-j}(a)$, then using Lemma 3.5,

$$\left| \frac{1}{d^j} c_c(f^j) \right| = \frac{1}{d^j} \sum_{\ell=1}^{j} \deg_{f^\ell(z)}(f^{j-\ell}) |c_{f^{\ell-1}(z)}(f)| = \frac{1}{d^j} \sum_{\ell=1}^{j} C'' d^{j-\ell} \leq C'' \frac{1}{d-1},$$

where we set $C'' := \max_{c \in C(f) \setminus C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}}} \sup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} |c_{f^\ell(c)}(f)| < \infty$. This is finite since any $c \in C(f) \setminus C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}}$ is preperiodic under f. Under the convention that $\sup \emptyset = 0$, put

$$k_a := \sup\{j \in \mathbb{N}; C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}} \cap f^{-j}(a) \neq \emptyset\} < \infty.$$

This is finite since any $c \in C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}}$ is wandering, and vanishes if and only if $a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \mathrm{CO}(f)_{\mathrm{wan}}$. Under the convention that $\sum_\emptyset = 0$, put

$$C_{f,a}(j) := \sum_{c \in C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}} \cap f^{-j}(a)} |c_c(f^j)|,$$

which vanishes for any $j > k_a$. From these estimates,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad & \eta_{a,j}\left(\sum_{c\in C(f)\setminus f^{-j}(a)} U_{(f^j)^*(a)/d^j}(c) - 2C'' - C_{f,a}(j)\right) - C'\eta_{a,j} \\
&\le \sum_{c\in C(f)}\int_{\mathsf{P}^1\setminus\{c\}} \deg_w(f^j)\Phi_f(w,c)\mathrm{d}\frac{(f^j)^*(a)}{d^j}(w) \\
&\left(\le \left(\sum_{c\in C(f)\setminus f^{-j}(a)} U_{(f^j)^*(a)/d^j}(c) + 2C'' + C_{f,a}(j)\right) + C'd^{-j}D_{a,j}\right) \\
&\le \left(\sum_{c\in C(f)\setminus f^{-j}(a)} U_{(f^j)^*(a)/d^j}(c)\right) + \left(2C'' + C_{f,a}(j) + C'\right)\eta_{a,j}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, $U_{(f^j)^*(a)/d^j}(c) = \Phi_f(f^j(c),a)/d^j$. Set $C_2 := C' + 2C''$. Under the convention that $\sum_{j=1}^{0} = 0$, put

$$C_{f,a} := \sum_{j=1}^{k_a} C_{f,a}(j)\eta_{a,j},$$

which vanishes if $a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \mathrm{CO}(f)_{\mathrm{wan}}$. For every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{j=1}^{k} C_{f,a}(j)\eta_{a,j} \le C_{f,a}$. Take the sum of (3.8) over $j = 1, \dots, k$ and divide this by d^k. Now the proof is complete. $\square$

Set $C_f := C_1 + C_2 + (2d-2)\sup_{\mathbb{P}^1}|2g_f|$. Then Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8 (with (2.10) and $D_{a,j} \le d^j\eta_{a,j}$) completes the proof of (1.7) in Theorem 1.

Remark 3.1. We can set

$$\begin{aligned}
C_f = {} & |B(f)| + \max_{c\in C(f)}|c_c(f)| + 2\max_{c\in C(f)\setminus C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}}}\sup_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}|c_{f^\ell(c)}(f)| \\
& - (2d-2)\max_{(c,c')\in C(f)\times C(f)\setminus \mathrm{diag}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}\log[c,c'] + |\log|d|| + (8d-8)\sup_{\mathbb{P}^1}|g_f|.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 2. First of all, we show

$$E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f) = E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)' := \bigcup_{c\in C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}}}\bigcap_{N\in\mathbb{N}}\bigcup_{j\ge N} B[f^j(c),\exp(-C_0d^j)], \tag{3.9}$$

$$E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)' \cap F(f) \subset \bigcup_{c\in C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}}\cap \mathrm{PS}(f)}\bigcap_{N\in\mathbb{N}}\bigcup_{j\ge N} B[f^j(c),\exp(-C_0d^j)], \tag{3.10}$$

$$E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)' \cap \mathcal{J}(f) \subset \bigcup_{c\in C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}}\cap \mathcal{J}(f)}\bigcap_{N\in\mathbb{N}}\bigcup_{j\ge N} B[f^j(c),\exp(-C_0d^j)], \tag{3.11}$$

which are independent of Theorem 1. Suppose that $a \in F(f) \setminus E(f)$. Then for every $c \in C(f) \cap \mathcal{J}(f)$, $\inf_{j\in\mathbb{N}}[f^j(c),a] > 0$. If $a \in SAT(f) \setminus E(f)$, then there are $\delta > 0$ and $d_a \in (1,d)$ such that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $c \in C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}} \setminus f^{-j}(a)$, $[f^j(c),a] \ge \delta\exp(-d_a^j)$. If $a \in AT(f) \setminus SAT(f)$, then there are $C > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0,1]$ such that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $c \in C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}} \setminus f^{-j}(a)$, $[f^j(c),a] \ge C\lambda^j$. Hence Fact 1.2 implies the inclusion

(3.10). Next, suppose that $a \in \mathcal{J}(f)$. Then for every $c \in C(f) \cap \mathcal{F}(f)$ and every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, by (3.1) and (2.10),

$$\frac{1}{d^j}\Phi_f(f^j(c), a) = U_{(f^j)^*(a)/d^j}(c) \geq \min_{w \in f^{-j}(a)} \Phi_f(c, w) \geq \inf_{w \in \mathcal{J}(f)} \Phi_f(c, w) > -\infty,$$

and then $\log[f^j(c), a]) \geq -C_0 d^j$. Hence the inclusion (3.11) holds. From these inclusions, $E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)' \subset E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)$, so the equality (3.9) holds.

Let us show the inclusion (1.9). For every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by (1.7),

$$|\mathcal{E}_f(k, a)|$$

(3.12)

$$\leq \left((2d-2) \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \max_{c \in C(f) \setminus f^{-j}(a)} \frac{1}{d^j} \log \frac{1}{[f^j(c), a]} + C_f + C_{f,a}\right) \frac{1}{d^k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \eta_{a,j}.$$

For every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)'$, there is $N = N(a) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $j > N$ and every $c \in C(f) \setminus f^{-j}(a)$, $\log[f^j(c), a] \geq -C_0 d^j$. Then

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \max_{c \in C(f) \setminus f^{-j}(a)} \frac{1}{d^j} \log \frac{1}{[f^j(c), a]} < \infty. \tag{3.13}$$

Hence for every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus (E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f) \cup E(f)) = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus (E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)' \cup E(f))$, this and (3.12) together with (1.5) imply that $\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_f(k, a) = 0$, so $a \notin E_{\mathrm{Fekete}}(f)$.

Let us show the final assertion. Fix a pre-recurrent $c \in C(f)_{\mathrm{wan}}$. Then since c is pre-recurrent, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{f^k(c); k \geq N\} \cap \omega(c)$ is dense in $\omega(c)$ under $[\cdot, \cdot]$. Hence by the Baire category theorem,

$$B_c := \bigcap_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{k \geq N} \left(B[f^k(c), \exp(-d^{3k})] \cap \omega(c)\right)$$

is G_δ-dense in $\omega(c)$ under $[\cdot, \cdot]$. We have already seen that $E(f) \subset E_{\mathrm{Fekete}}(f)$. For every $a \in B_c \setminus E(f)$, since c is wandering, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough, $f^k(c) \neq a$. Since $d^{-k} \log[f^k(c), a] \leq -d^{2k}$ for infinitely many $k \in \mathbb{N}$, from the upper estimate of $\mathcal{E}_f(k, a)$ in (1.7) together with (1.5), $\mathcal{E}_f(k, a) \leq -d^k + o(1)$ as $k \to \infty$. Hence $a \in E_{\mathrm{Fekete}}(f)$. □

Proof of Theorems 3 *and* 4. Theorem 3 follows by substituting (1.6) and (3.12) in (1.10) and (1.11) in Proposition 1, respectively.

For every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f) = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus E_{\mathrm{wan}}(f)'$, by (3.13),

$$C := C_{\mathrm{FRL}} \sqrt{(2d-2) \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \max_{c \in C(f) \setminus f^{-j}(a)} \frac{1}{d^j} \log \frac{1}{[f^j(c), a]} + C_f + C_{f,a} + 1} < \infty.$$

For every $a_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \mathrm{PC}(f)$ and every $r_0 \in (0, [a_0, \mathrm{PC}(f)])$,

$$\inf_{a \in B[a_0, r_0]} [a, \mathrm{PC}(f)] \geq \begin{cases} [a_0, \mathrm{PC}(f)] - r_0 & \text{(if } K \text{ is archimedean)} \\ r_0 & \text{(if } K \text{ is non-archimedean)} \end{cases},$$

so $C' := C_{\mathrm{FRL}} \sqrt{(2d-2) \sup_{a \in B[a_0, r_0]} \log(1/[\mathrm{PC}(f), a]) + C_f + 1} < \infty$. Now both inequalities in Theorem 4 follow from (1.12) in Theorem 3 and Remark 1.4. □

4. Proof of Proposition 1

Let f be a rational function on $\mathbb{P}^1=\mathbb{P}^1(K)$ of degree $d>1$.

Lemma 4.1. *For every $a\in\mathsf{P}^1$ and every $k\in\mathbb{N}$,*

$$\mathcal{E}_f(k,a)=-\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f,\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)_f.$$

Proof. From the bilinearity and the definition (1.4) of $\mathcal{E}_f(k,a)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f,\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)_f\\&\qquad=-\mathcal{E}_f(k,a)-2\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k},\mu_f\right)_f+(\mu_f,\mu_f)_f.\end{aligned}$$

Since μ_f has no atom in $\mathbb{P}^1$ and $U_{\mu_f}\equiv 0$ on P^1,

$$\begin{aligned}-\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k},\mu_f\right)_f=&\int_{\mathsf{H}^1}\mathrm{d}\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}(z)\int_{\mathsf{P}^1}\Phi_f(z,w)\mathrm{d}\mu_f(w)\\&+\int_{\mathbb{P}^1}\mathrm{d}\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}(z)\int_{\mathsf{P}^1\setminus\{z\}}\Phi_f(z,w)\mathrm{d}\mu_f(w)=0,\end{aligned}$$

and similarly, $(\mu_f,\mu_f)_f=0$. □

The (original) Favre and Rivera-Letelier bilinear form $(\mu,\mu')_\infty$ ([13, §4.4]) was defined by using the (extended) planar logarithmic kernel

$$\log\delta_\infty(z,w)=\log\delta_{\mathrm{can}}(z,w)-\log\delta_{\mathrm{can}}(z,\infty)-\log\delta_{\mathrm{can}}(w,\infty)$$

on P^1 instead of Φ_f (note that $[z,w]=|z-w|[z,\infty][w,\infty]$ for $z,w\in K$). For each $a\in\mathsf{P}^1$ and each $k\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $f^{-k}(a)\subset\mathsf{P}^1\setminus\{\infty\}$, put

$$m_k(a):=\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f,\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)_\infty+\mathcal{E}_f(k,a).\tag{4.1}$$

Lemma 4.2. *For every $a\in\mathsf{H}^1$ and every $k\in\mathbb{N}$, $f^{-k}(a)\subset\mathsf{P}^1\setminus\{\infty\}$ and $m_k(a)=0$. Suppose that*

- *∞ is a repelling periodic point of f, or*
- *∞ is a non-repelling periodic point of f and K is non-archimedean,*

and put $p:=\min\{j\in\mathbb{N};f^j(\infty)=\infty\}$. Then for every $r\in(0,1)$ small enough, there is $C>0$ such that for every $a\in\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\bigcup_{j=0}^{p-1}f^j(B[\infty,r])$ and every $k\in\mathbb{N}$, $f^{-k}(a)\subset\mathsf{P}^1\setminus\{\infty\}$ and $|m_k(a)|\le Ckd^{-2k}D_{a,k}$.

Proof. Put $\phi_\infty := g_f - \log\delta_{\mathrm{can}}(\cdot,\infty)$ on P^1. For each $a \in \mathsf{P}^1$ and each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if $f^{-k}(a) \subset \mathsf{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$, then using Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&m_k(a)\\
=&-\int_{\mathsf{P}^1\times\mathsf{P}^1\setminus\mathrm{diag}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}(\phi_\infty(z)+\phi_\infty(w))\mathrm{d}(\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)\times\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right))(z,w)\\
=&-2\int_{\mathsf{P}^1\times\mathsf{P}^1\setminus\mathrm{diag}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}\phi_\infty(z)\mathrm{d}(\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)\times\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right))(z,w)\\
=&2\int_{\mathrm{diag}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}\phi_\infty(z)\mathrm{d}(\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)\times\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right))(z,w)\\
=&2\int_{\mathrm{diag}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}\phi_\infty(z)\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}\times\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}\right)(z,w).
\end{aligned}$$

Here the third equality follows from

$$\int_{\mathsf{P}^1\times\mathsf{P}^1}\phi_\infty(z)\mathrm{d}(\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)\times\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right))(z,w)=0,$$

and the final one holds since μ_f has no atom in $\mathbb{P}^1$.

In particular, if $a \in \mathsf{H}^1$, then $f^{-k}(a) \subset \mathsf{H}^1 \subset \mathsf{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$ and $m_k(a) = 0$.

Suppose that ∞ is periodic under f. Put $p := \min\{j \in \mathbb{N}; f^j(\infty) = \infty\}$ and $\lambda := |(f^p)'(\infty)|$. For each $r \in (0,1)$, put

$$U(r) := \bigcup_{j=0}^{p-1} f^j(B[\infty, r]).$$

In the case that ∞ is repelling, i.e., $\lambda > 1$, for every $r \in (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$ small enough, the single-valued analytic inverse branch f_∞^{-p} of f^p fixing ∞ exists on $B[\infty, r]$. Note that $|(f_\infty^{-p})'(\infty)| = \lambda^{-1} \in (0,1)$. From the Koebe 1/4-theorem and its non-archimedean counterpart of (Koebe) 1-theorem (cf. [4, Proposition 3.5]) applied to this analytic inverse branch of f^{-p}, for every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus U(r)$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $[f^{-k}(a), \infty] \ge r/(4\sqrt{2}\lambda)^{k/p+1} > 0$ and

$$\max_{z\in f^{-k}(a)}|\phi_\infty(z)| \le \sup_{\mathbb{P}^1}|g_f| - \log r + (k/p+1)\log(4\sqrt{2}\lambda).$$

In the case that ∞ is non-repelling, i.e., $\lambda \le 1$ and that K is also non-archimedean, for every $r \in (0,1)$ small enough, from the strong triangle inequality for $[\cdot,\cdot]$, $f^p(B[\infty, r]) \subset B[\infty, r]$. Then for every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus U(r)$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $[f^{-k}(a), \infty] \ge r > 0$ and $\max_{z\in f^{-k}(a)} |\phi_\infty(z)| \le \sup_{\mathbb{P}^1} |g_f| - \log r$.

Now the proof is complete. □

The following extends [13, Théorème 7] to general K.

Theorem 4.1. *Let f be a rational function on $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ of degree $d > 1$. Then for every $a \in \mathsf{H}^1$, every C^1-test function ϕ on P^1 and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

(4.2)

$$\left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1}\phi\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)\right| \le \langle\phi,\phi\rangle^{1/2}\sqrt{\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f, \frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)_\infty}.$$

Moreover, there is $C>0$ such that for every $a\in\mathbb{P}^1$, every C^1-test function ϕ on P^1 and every $k\in\mathbb{N}$, if $f^{-k}(a)\subset K=\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{\infty\}$, then

$$\begin{multline}\left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1}\phi\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)\right|\le\mathrm{Lip}(\phi)d^{-k}+\\+\langle\phi,\phi\rangle^{1/2}\sqrt{\left|\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f,\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)_\infty\right|+Ckd^{-2k}D_{a,k}}.\tag{4.3}\end{multline}$$

Proof. For every $a\in\mathsf{H}^1$ and every $k\in\mathbb{N}$, $\Phi_f(f^k(\cdot),a)$ is continuous on P^1. Hence by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality ([13, (32), (33)]),

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1}\phi\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)\right|&=\left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1}\phi\Delta\frac{\Phi_f(f^k(\cdot),a)}{d^k}\right|\\&\le\langle\phi,\phi\rangle^{1/2}\sqrt{\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f,\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)_\infty},\end{aligned}$$

which is (4.2).

For each $z\in K$ and each $\epsilon>0$, let $[z]_\epsilon$ be the (ϵ-)regularization of the Dirac measure (z) on P^1 (for the definition, see [13, §2.6, §4.6]). For each $a\in\mathbb{P}^1$ with $f^{-k}(a)\subset K$, put

$$\left[(f^k)^*(a)\right]_\epsilon:=\sum_{z\in f^{-k}(a)}(\deg_z(f^k))\cdot[z]_\epsilon.$$

Since the f-potential $U_{[(f^k)^*(a)]_\epsilon/d^k}$ is also continuous on P^1 ([13, Lemmes 2.7, 4.8]), by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1}\phi\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{[(f^k)^*(a)]_\epsilon}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)\right|&=\left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1}\phi\Delta U_{[(f^k)^*(a)]_\epsilon/d^k}\right|\\&\le\langle\phi,\phi\rangle^{1/2}\sqrt{\left(\frac{[(f^k)^*(a)]_\epsilon}{d^k}-\mu_f,\frac{[(f^k)^*(a)]_\epsilon}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)_\infty}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from the construction of ϵ-regularization of (z), $\left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1}\phi\mathrm{d}((z)-[z]_\epsilon)\right|\le\mathrm{Lip}(\phi)\epsilon$ for every $z\in K$. Hence

$$\left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1}\phi\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\frac{[(f^k)^*(a)]_\epsilon}{d^k}\right)\right|\le\mathrm{Lip}(\phi)\epsilon.$$

Recall that g_∞ is κ-Hölder continuous on P^1 under d, where the $\kappa\in(0,1)$ is determined in Fact 2.4. Let $\eta(\epsilon)$ be the modulus of continuity of g_∞ under d. In the proof of [13, Propositions 2.9, 2.10, 4.10, 4.11], it is shown that for every $z\in K$ and every $\epsilon>0$,

$$\begin{cases}|([z]_\epsilon,\mu_f)_\infty-((z),\mu_f)_\infty|\le\epsilon+\eta(\epsilon)\quad(z\in K),\\([z]_\epsilon,[z']_\epsilon)_\infty\le\begin{cases}((z),(z'))_\infty&(z,z')\in K\times K\setminus\mathrm{diag}_{\mathbb{P}^1},\\C_{\mathrm{abs}}-\log\epsilon&z=z'\in K,\end{cases}\end{cases}\tag{4.4}$$

where $C_{\mathrm{abs}}\in\mathbb{R}$ is an absolute constant [13, p. 329].

From the bilinearity,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{[(f^k)^*(a)]_\epsilon}{d^k}-\mu_f,\frac{[(f^k)^*(a)]_\epsilon}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)_\infty\\&\quad=\frac{1}{d^{2k}}([(f^k)^*(a)]_\epsilon,[(f^k)^*(a)]_\epsilon)_\infty-2\left(\frac{[(f^k)^*(a)]_\epsilon}{d^k},\mu_f\right)_\infty+(\mu_f,\mu_f)_\infty,\end{aligned}$$

and in the right hand side, by (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned}\text{(the first term)}=&\frac{1}{d^{2k}}\sum_{(z,z')\in f^{-k}(a)\times f^{-1}(a)\setminus\mathrm{diag}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}\deg_z(f^k)\deg_{z'}(f^k)([z]_\epsilon,[z']_\epsilon)_\infty\\&+d^{-2k}\sum_{z\in f^{-k}(a)}(\deg_z(f^k))^2([z]_\epsilon,[z]_\epsilon)_\infty\\\le&\frac{1}{d^{2k}}((f^k)^*(a),(f^k)^*(a))_\infty+(C_{\mathrm{abs}}-\log\epsilon)d^{-2k}D_{a,k},\end{aligned}$$

(the second term)

$$=-2\sum_{z\in f^{-k}(a)}\frac{\deg_z(f^k)}{d^k}([z]_\epsilon,\mu_f)_\infty\le-2\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k},\mu_f\right)_\infty+2(\epsilon+\eta(\epsilon)).$$

Set $\epsilon=(d^k)^{-1/\kappa}(<d^{-k}\le d^{-2k}D_{a,k})$ and $C:=|C_{\mathrm{abs}}|+(\kappa^{-1}\log d)+4$. From the bilinearity again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{[(f^k)^*(a)]_\epsilon}{d^k}-\mu_f,\frac{[(f^k)^*(a)]_\epsilon}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)_\infty\\&\qquad\le\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f,\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)_\infty+Ckd^{-2k}D_{a,k}.\end{aligned}$$

Now the proof of (4.3) is complete. □

Let us complete the proof of Proposition 1. For every $a\in\mathsf{H}^1$ and every $k\in\mathbb{N}$, (1.10) follows from (4.2) and (the former half of) Lemma 4.2.

Choose distinct cycles $\{z_1,f(z_1),\dots,f^{p_1-1}(z_1)\}$, $\{z_2,f(z_2),\dots,f^{p_2-1}(z_2)\}$ of f, both of which being repelling if K is archimedean, and where $p_i:=\min\{k\in\mathbb{N};f^k(z_i)=z_i\}$ for $i=1,2$. If $r_1>0$ and $r_2>0$ are small enough, then $U_i:=\bigcup_{j=0}^{p_i-1}f^j(B[z_i,r_i])$ for $i\in\{1,2\}$ satisfy $(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus U_1)\cup(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus U_2)=\mathbb{P}^1$. Fix $i\in\{1,2\}$. Let h_i be a linear fractional isometry on $\mathbb{P}^1$ under $[\cdot,\cdot]$ such that $h_i(\infty)=z_i$, put $f_{h_i}:=h_i^{-1}\circ f\circ h_i$ and identify $h_i^*(a)$ with $h_i^{-1}(a)$. Then $h_i^*(a)\in\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\bigcup_{j=0}^{p_i-1}f_{h_i}^j(B[\infty,r_i])$. Decreasing $r_i>0$ if necessary, from (4.3), (the latter half of) Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 2.3, for every $a\in\mathbb{P}^1\setminus U_i$, every C^1-test function ϕ on P^1 and every $k\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1}\phi\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{(f^k)^*(a)}{d^k}-\mu_f\right)\right|=\left|\int_{\mathsf{P}^1}(h_i^*\phi)\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{(f_{h_i}^k)^*(h_i^*(a))}{d^k}-\mu_{f_{h_i}}\right)\right|\\&\le\mathrm{Lip}(h_i^*\phi)d^{-k}+\langle h_i^*\phi,h_i^*\phi\rangle^{1/2}\sqrt{|\mathcal{E}_{f_{h_i}}(k,h_i^*(a))|+C_ikd^{-2k}D_{h_i^*(a),k}(f_{h_i})}\\&=\mathrm{Lip}(\phi)d^{-k}+\langle\phi,\phi\rangle^{1/2}\sqrt{|\mathcal{E}_f(k,a)|+C_ikd^{-2k}D_{a,k}(f)}.\end{aligned}$$

Here the constant $C_i > 0$ is independent of $a \in (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus U_i), \phi$ and k.

Set $C_{\mathrm{FRL}} := 2(\max\{C_1, C_2\} + 1)$. Then these estimates for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ conclude (1.11). $\square$

Acknowledgement. The author thanks Professors Matthew Baker, Sebastien Boucksom, Arnaud Chéritat, David Drasin, Charles Favre, Norman Levenberg, Nicolae Mihalache, Juan Rivera-Letelier, Robert Rumely and Nessim Sibony for invaluable discussions. The author also thanks the referee for very careful scrutiny. Most of this work was done during the author's visiting Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, and the author is grateful to the hospitality. The author also thanks ICERM, Brown University, where this was completed. This work was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B), 21740096.

References

[1] Baker, M. A lower bound for average values of dynamical Green's functions, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **13**, 2-3 (2006), 245–257.

[2] Baker, M. H. and Rumely, R. Equidistribution of small points, rational dynamics, and potential theory, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **56**, 3 (2006), 625–688.

[3] Baker, M. and Rumely, R. *Potential theory and dynamics on the Berkovich projective line*, Vol. 159 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2010).

[4] Benedetto, R. L. Non-Archimedean holomorphic maps and the Ahlfors Islands theorem, *Amer. J. Math.*, **125**, 3 (2003), 581–622.

[5] Brelot, M. *Lectures on potential theory*, Notes by K. N. Gowrisankaran and M. K. Venkatesha Murthy. Second edition, revised and enlarged with the help of S. Ramaswamy. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics, No. 19, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay (1967).

[6] Brolin, H. Invariant sets under iteration of rational functions, *Ark. Mat.*, **6** (1965), 103–144.

[7] Chambert-Loir, A. Mesures et équidistribution sur les espaces de Berkovich, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **595** (2006), 215–235.

[8] DeMarco, L. Dynamics of rational maps: Lyapunov exponents, bifurcations, and capacity, *Math. Ann.*, **326**, 1 (2003), 43–73.

[9] Drasin, D. and Okuyama, Y. Equidistribution and Nevanlinna theory, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **39**, 4 (2007), 603–613.

[10] Erëmenko, A. E. and Sodin, M. L. Iterations of rational functions and the distribution of the values of Poincaré functions, *Teor. Funktsiĭ Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, **53** (1990), 18–25.

[11] Fatou, P. Sur les équations fonctionnelles, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **48** (1920), 33–94.

[12] Favre, C. and Jonsson, M. *The valuative tree*, Vol. 1853 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2004).

[13] Favre, C. and Rivera-Letelier, J. Équidistribution quantitative des points de petite hauteur sur la droite projective, *Math. Ann.*, **335**, 2 (2006), 311–361.

[14] Favre, C. and Rivera-Letelier, J. Théorie ergodique des fractions rationnelles sur un corps ultramétrique, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, **100**, 1 (2010), 116–154.

[15] Fekete, M. Über den transfiniten Durchmesser ebener Punktmengen, *Math. Z.*, **32**, 1 (1930), 108–114, 215–221.

[16] Fekete, M. Über den transfiniten Durchmesser ebener Punktmengen, *Math. Z.*, **37**, 1 (1933), 635–646.

[17] Freire, A., Lopes, A. and Mañé, R. An invariant measure for rational maps, *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat.*, **14**, 1 (1983), 45–62.

[18] Jonsson, M. Sums of Lyapunov exponents for some polynomial maps of $\mathbf{C}^2$, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **18**, 3 (1998), 613–630.

[19] Jonsson, M. Dynamics on Berkovich spaces in low dimensions, *ArXiv e-prints* (Jan. 2012).

[20] Kawaguchi, S. and Silverman, J. H. Nonarchimedean Green functions and dynamics on projective space, *Math. Z.*, **262**, 1 (2009), 173–197.

[21] Ljubich, M. J. Entropy properties of rational endomorphisms of the Riemann sphere, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **3**, 3 (1983), 351–385.

[22] Mañé, R. On a theorem of Fatou, *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.)*, **24**, 1 (1993), 1–11.

[23] Mihalache, N. Two counterexamples in rational and interval dynamics, *ArXiv e-prints* (Oct. 2008).

[24] Milnor, J. *Dynamics in one complex variable*, Vol. 160 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, third edition (2006).

[25] Okuyama, Y. and Stawiska, M. Potential theory and a characterization of polynomials in complex dynamics, *Conform. Geom. Dyn.*, **15** (2011), 152–159.

[26] Przytycki, F., Rivera-Letelier, J. and Smirnov, S. Equivalence and topological invariance of conditions for non-uniform hyperbolicity in the iteration of rational maps, *Invent. Math.*, **151**, 1 (2003), 29–63.

[27] Rivera-Letelier, J. Dynamique des fonctions rationnelles sur des corps locaux, *Astérisque*, 287 (2003), xv, 147–230, Geometric methods in dynamics. II.

[28] Saff, E. B. and Totik, V. *Logarithmic potentials with external fields*, Vol. 316 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1997), Appendix B by Thomas Bloom.

[29] Silverman, J. H. Integer points, Diophantine approximation, and iteration of rational maps, *Duke Math. J.*, **71**, 3 (1993), 793–829.

[30] Silverman, J. H. *The arithmetic of dynamical systems*, Vol. 241 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer, New York (2007).

[31] Sodin, M. Value distribution of sequences of rational functions, Entire and subharmonic functions, Vol. 11 of *Adv. Soviet Math.*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1992), 7–20.

[32] Szpiro, L. and Tucker, T. J. Equidistribution and generalized Mahler measures, *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints* (Oct. 2005).

[33] Thuillier, A. Théorie du potentiel sur les courbes en géométrie analytique non archimédienne. Applications à la théorie d'Arakelov, PhD Thesis, IRMAR - Institut de Recherche Mathmatique de Rennes, available at http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/documents/archives0/00/01/09/90/ (2005).

[34] Tsuji, M. *Potential theory in modern function theory*, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York (1975), Reprinting of the 1959 original.

Division of Mathematics, Kyoto Institute of Technology, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8585 Japan.

Current address: UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7586, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, 4 place Jussieu, F-75005 Paris, France.

E-mail address: `okuyama@kit.ac.jp`